arXiv:math/0609134v1 [math.CO] 5 Sep 2006

LaTeX

Score lists in [h-k]-bipartite hypertournaments

S. Pirzada[1], T. A. Chishti[2], T. A. Naikoo[3]

[1,3]Department of Mathematics, University of Kashmir, India
[1]Email: sdpirzada@yahoo.co.in
[3]Email: tariqnaikoo@rediffmail.com
[2]Centre of Distance Education, University of Kashmir, India

[2]Email: chishtita@yahoo.co.in

AMS Subject Classification: 05C

ABSTRACT. Given non-negative integers m, n, h and k with $m \geq h > 1$ and $n \geq k > 1$, an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament on $m+n$ vertices is a triple (U, V, A), where U and V are two sets of vertices with $|U| = m$ and $|V| = n$, and A is a set of $(h+k)-$ tuples of vertices, called arcs, with exactly h vertices from U and exactly k vertices from V ,such that any $h+k$ subsets $U_1 \cup V_1$ of $U \cup V$, A contains exactly one of the $(h+k)!$ $(h+k)-$tuples whose entries belong to $U_1 \cup V_1$. We obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for a pair of non-decreasing sequences of non-negative integers to be the losing score lists or score lists of some$[h-k]-$bipartite hypertournament.

1. Introduction

Hypergraphs are generalization of graphs [3]. While edges of a graph are pairs of vertices of the graph, edges of a hypergraph are subsets of the vertex set, consisting of at least two vertices. An edge consisting of k vertices is called a k-edge. A k-hypergraph is a hypergraph all of whose edges are k-edges. A k-hypertournament is a complete k-hypergraph with each k-edge endowed with an orientation, that is, a linear arrangement of the vertices contained in the hyperedge.

Instead of scores of vertices in a tournament, Zhou et al. [8] considered scores and losing scores of vertices in a k-hypertournament, and derived a result analogous to Landau's theorem [6]. The score $s(v_i)$ or s_i of a vertex v_i is the number of arcs containing v_i and in which v_i is not the last element, and the losing score $r(v_i)$ or r_i of a vertex v_i is the number of arcs containing v_i and in which v_i is the last element. The score sequence (losing score sequence) is formed by listing the scores (losing scores) in non-decreasing order.

We note that for two integers p and q,

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} p \\ q \end{array} \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{p!}{q!(p-q)!}, & p \geq q, \\ 0, & p < q. \end{cases}$$

The following characterizations of score sequences and losing score sequences in k-hypertournaments can be found in Zhou et al. [8].

Theorem 1.1. Given two non-negative integers n and k with $n \geq k > 1$, a non-decreasing sequence R = [r_1, r_2 ,..., r_n] of non-negative integers is a losing score sequence of some k-hypertournament if and only if for each j,

$$\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{j} r_i \geq \left(\begin{array}{c} j \\ k \end{array} \right),$$

with equality when j = n.

Theorem 1.2. Given non-negative integers n and k with $n \geq k > 1$, a non-decreasing sequence S = [s_1, s_2 ,..., s_n] of non-negative integers is a score sequence of some k-hypertournament if and only if for each j,

$$\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{j} s_i \geq j \left(\begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} n-j \\ k \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right),$$

with equality when j = n.

Bang and Sharp [1] proved Landau's theorem using Hall's theorem on a system of distinct representatives of a collection of sets. Based on Bang and Sharp's ideas, Koh and Ree [5] have given a different proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Some more results on scores of k-hypertournaments can be found in [4, 7].

Bipartite hypergraphs are generalization of bipartite graphs. If U = {u_1, u_2 ,..., u_m} and V = {v_1, v_2 ,..., v_n} are vertex sets, then the edge of a bipartite hypergraph is a subset of the vertex sets, containing at least one vertex from U and at least one vertex from V. If an edge has exactly h vertices from U and exactly k vertices from V, it is called an [h-k]-edge. An [h-k]-bipartite hypergraph is a bipartite hypergraph all of whose edges are [h-k]-edges. An [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament is a complete [h-k]-bipartite hypergraph with each [h-k]-edge endowed with an orientaion, that is, a linear arrangement of the vertices contained in the hyperedge.

Equivalently, given non-negative integers m, n, h and k with $m \geq h > 1$ and $n \geq k > 1$, an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament of order m x n consists of two vertex sets U and V with $|U| = m$ and $|V| = n$, together with an arc set E, a set of $(h + k)$ tuples of vertices, with exactly h vertices from U and exactly k vertices from V, called arcs, such that for any h-subset U_1 of U and k-subset V_1 of V, E contains exactly one of the (h+k)! (h+k)-tuples whose h entries belong to U_1 and k entries belong to V_1. Let e = (u_1, u_2,..., u_h, v_1, v_2,..., v_k) be an arc in H and i <j, we denote e(u_i, u_j) = (u_1,..., u_j,..., u_i, v_1,..., v_k), that

is, the new arc obtained from e by interchanging u_i and u_j in e. Similarly, we can have new arcs of the form $e(v_i, v_j)$ and $e(u_i, v_j)$.

For a given vertex $u_i \in U$, the score $d_H^+(u_i)$ (or simply $d^+(u_i)$) is the number of [h-k]-arcs containing u_i and in which u_i is not the last element. The losing score $d_H^-(u_i)$ (or simply $d^-(u_i)$) is the number of [h-k]-arcs containing u_i and in which u_i is the last element. Similarly, we define by $d_H^+(v_j)$ and $d_H^-(v_j)$ respectively as the score and losing score of a vertex $v_j \in V$. The losing score lists of an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament is a pair of non-decreasing sequences of non-negative integers $A = [a_1, a_2 ,..., a_m]$ and $B = [b_1, b_2 ,..., b_n]$, where a_i is a losing score of some vertex $u_i \in U$ and b_j is a losing score of some vertex $v_j \in V$. Similarly, the score lists are formed by listing the scores in non-decreasing order, and we denote these by $C = [c_1, c_2 ,..., c_m]$ and $D = [d_1, d_2 ,..., d_n]$.

2. Main results

The following two Theorems are the main results and provide a characterization of losing score lists and score lists in [h-k]-bipartite hypertournaments.

Theorem 2.1. Given non-negative integers m, n, h and k with $m \geq h > 1$ and $n \geq k > 1$,the non-decreasing sequences $A = [a_i]_1^m$ and $B = [b_j]_1^n$ of non-negative integers are the losing score lists of an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament if and only if for each p and q,

$$\sum_{i=1}^p a_i + \sum_{j=1}^q b_j \geq \binom{p}{h}\binom{q}{k}, \tag{1}$$

with equality when p = m and q = n.

Theorem 2.2. Given non-negative integers m, n, h and k with $m \geq h > 1$ and $n \geq k > 1$,the non-decreasing sequences $C = [c_i]_1^m$ and $D = [d_j]_1^n$ of non-negative integers are the score lists of an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament if and only if for each p and q,

$$\sum_{i=1}^p c_i + \sum_{j=1}^q d_j \geq p\binom{m-1}{h-1}\binom{n}{k} + q\binom{m}{h}\binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{m-p}{h}\binom{n-q}{k} - \binom{m}{h}\binom{n}{k}, \tag{2}$$

with equality when p = m and q = n.

In order to prove Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we require the following Lemmas. We note that in an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament H there are exactly $\binom{m}{h}\binom{n}{k}$ arcs, and in each arc, only one vertex is at the last entry. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_H^-(u_i)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_H^-(v_j)=\binom{m}{h}\binom{n}{k}.$$

Lemma 2.1. If H is an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament of order m x n with score lists A = $[c_i]_1^m$ and B = $[d_j]_1^n$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i+\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j=(h+k-1)\binom{m}{h}\binom{n}{k}.$$

Proof. Obviously, m $\geq$ h and n $\geq$ k. If a_i is the losing score of $u_i \in$ U and b_j is the losing score of $v_j \in$ V, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j \geq \binom{m}{h}\binom{n}{k}.$$

Now, there are $\binom{m-1}{h-1}\binom{n}{k}$ arcs containing a vertex $u_i \in$ U, and $\binom{m}{h}\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ arcs containing a vertex $v_j \in$ V. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i+\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j &= \sum_{i=1}^{m}\binom{m-1}{h-1}\binom{n}{k}+\\ &\qquad \sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{m}{h}\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{m}{h}\binom{n}{k}\\ &= m\binom{m-1}{h-1}\binom{n}{k}+n\binom{m}{h}\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{m}{h}\binom{n}{k}\\ &= (h+k-1)\binom{m}{h}\binom{n}{k}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. If A = [a_1, a_2 ,..., a_m] and B = [b_1, b_2 ,..., b_n] are losing score lists of an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament H, and if $a_i < a_j$, then $A^{/}$ = [a_1, a_2 ,..., a_i+1,..., a_j-1,...,a_m] and B are losing score lists of some [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament.

Proof. Let A and B be the losing score lists of an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament H with vertex sets U = {u_1, u_2,..., u_m} and V = {v_1, v_2,..., v_n} so that $d^-(u_i)=a_i$ and $d^-(v_j)=b_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq m,\ 1 \leq j \leq n)$.

If there is an [h-k]-arc e containing both u_i and u_j with u_j as the last element in e, let $e^{/}$ = (u_i, u_j) and $H^{/}$ = (H-e) $\cup$ $e^{/}$. Clearly $A^{/}$ and B are the losing score lists of $H^{/}$.

Now, assume that for every arc e containing both u_i and u_j, u_j is not the last element in e. Since $a_i < a_j$, there exist two [h-k]-arcs e_1 = (w_1, w_2,..., w_{l-1}, u_i, w_l,..., w_{h-1}, z_1, z_2,..., z_k) and e_2 = ($w_1^{/}$, $w_2^{/}$,..., $w_{h-1}^{/}$, $z_1^{/}$, $z_2^{/}$,..., $z_k^{/}$, u_j) where w's $\in$ U, z's $\in$ V, $u_i \notin$ {w_1, w_2,..., w_{h-1}}, $u_j \notin$ {w_1, w_2,..., w_{h-1}} and ($w_1^{/}$, $w_2^{/}$,..., $w_{h-1}^{/}$, $z_1^{/}$, $z_2^{/}$,..., $z_k^{/}$) is a permutation of (w_1, w_2,..., w_{h-1}, z_1, z_2,..., z_k).

Now, let $e_1^{/} = e_1(u_i, x)$ and $e_2^{/} = e_2(u_j, y)$ where x is any one from $\{w_1, w_2, ..., w_{h-1}, z_1, z_2, ..., z_k\}$ and y is any one from $\{w_1^{/}, w_2^{/}, ..., w_{h-1}^{/}, z_1^{/}, z_2^{/}, ..., z_k^{/}\}$. Take $H^{/} = (H-(e_1 \cup e_2)) \cup (e_1^{/} \cup e_2^{/})$. Then, $A^{/}$ and B are the score lists of $H^{/}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let A = $[a_1, a_2, ..., a_m]$ and B = $[b_1, b_2, ..., b_n]$ be non-decreasing sequences of non-negative integers satisfying (1). If $a_m < \begin{pmatrix} m-1 \\ h-1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}$, then there exists r ($1 \leq r \leq m-1$) such that $A^{/} = [a_1, a_2, ..., a_r-1, ..., a_m+1]$ is non-decreasing and $A^{/}$ and B satisfy (1).

Proof. Let r be the maximum integer such that $a_{r-1} < a_r = a_{r+1} = ... = a_{m-1}$ with $a_0 = 0$ if r = 1.

To show that $A^{/}$ and B satisfy (1), we need to prove that for each p ($r \leq p \leq m-1$),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j > \begin{pmatrix} p \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} q \\ k \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

As $a_m < \begin{pmatrix} m-1 \\ h-1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j &= \begin{pmatrix} m \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix} - a_m \\ &> \begin{pmatrix} m \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} m-1 \\ h-1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} m \\ h \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} m-1 \\ h-1 \end{pmatrix}\right]\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} m-1 \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

This shows that for r = m-1, (3) is true.
Now, assume that $r \leq m-2$. Then (3) holds for p = m-1.
If there exists p_0 ($r \leq p_0 \leq m-2$) such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p_0} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j = \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} q \\ k \end{pmatrix},$$

choose p_0 as large as possible.

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{p_0+1} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j > \begin{pmatrix} p_0+1 \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} q \\ k \end{pmatrix}$,

therefore $a_{p_0} = a_{p_0+1} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p_0+1} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p_0} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j\right)$

$$> \begin{pmatrix} p_0+1 \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} q \\ k \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} q \\ k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \\ h-1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} q \\ k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, it follows that

$\sum_{i=1}^{p_0-1} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j = \sum_{i=1}^{p_0} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j - a_{p_0}$

$$< \binom{p_0}{h}\binom{q}{k} - \binom{p_0}{h-1}\binom{q}{k}$$
$$< \left[\binom{p_0-1}{h} + \binom{p_0-1}{h-1}\right]\binom{q}{k} - \binom{p_0}{h-1}\binom{q}{k}$$
$$< \left[\binom{p_0-1}{h} - \binom{p_0-1}{h-2}\right]\binom{q}{k}$$
$$< \binom{p_0-1}{h}\binom{q}{k},$$

a contradiction with the hypothesis on A and B. Hence (3) holds.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Necessity. Let A and B be the losing score lists of an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament H(U, V). For any p and q with h $\leq$ p $\leq$ m and k $\leq$ q $\leq$ n, let U_1 = {u_1, u_2,..., u_p} and V_1 = {v_1, v_2,..., v_q} be the set of vertices such that $d^-(u_i) = a_i$ for each 1 $\leq$ i $\leq$ p, and $d^-(v_j) = b_j$ for each 1 $\leq$ j $\leq$ q. Let H_1 be the [h-k]-bipartite subhypertournament formed by U_1 and V_1. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j \geq \sum_{i=1}^{p} d^-_{H_1}(u_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} d^-_{H_1}(v_j) = \binom{p}{h}\binom{q}{k}$.

Sufficiency. We induct on m and keep n fixed. For m = h, the result is obviously true. Therefore, let m >h, and similarly n >k.

Now, $$a_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j\right)$$
$$\leq \binom{m}{h}\binom{n}{k} - \binom{m-1}{h}\binom{n}{k}$$
$$= \left[\binom{m}{h} - \binom{m-1}{h}\right]\binom{n}{k}$$
$$= \binom{m-1}{h-1}\binom{n}{k}.$$

We consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $a_m = \binom{m-1}{h-1}\binom{n}{k}$.

So, $$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j - a_m$$
$$= \binom{m}{h}\binom{n}{k} - \binom{m-1}{h-1}\binom{n}{k}$$
$$= \left[\binom{m}{h} - \binom{m-1}{h-1}\right]\binom{n}{k} = \binom{m-1}{h}\binom{n}{k}.$$

By induction hypothesis [a_1, a_2,..., a_{m-1}] and B are losing score lists of an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament $H^{/}(U^{/}, V)$ of order m-1 x n. Construct an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament H of order m x n as follows. In $H^{/}$, let $U^{/}$ = {u_1, u_2,..., u_{m-1}} and V = {v_1, v_2,..., v_n}. Adding a new vertex u_m, for each (h+k)-tuple containing u_m, arrange u_m on the last entry. Denote E_1 to be the set of all these $\begin{pmatrix} m-1 \\ h-1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}$ (h+k)-tuple. Let E(H) = E(H$^/$)∪E$_1$. Clearly, A and B are losing score lists of H.

Case 2. $a_m < \begin{pmatrix} m-1 \\ h-1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}$.

Applying Lemma 2.3 repeatedly on A and keeping B fixed until we get a new non-decreasing list A$^/$ = [a$_1^/$, a$_2^/$,..., a$_m^/$] in which now $a_m^/ = \begin{pmatrix} m-1 \\ h-1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}$. By Case 1, A$^/$ and B are the losing score lists of an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament. Now, apply Lemma 2.2 on A$^/$ and B repeatedly until we obtain the initial pair of non-decreasing lists A and B. Then by Lemma 2.2, A and B are the losing score lists of an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament.

Remark. If h = 1, k = 1, we get the definition of scores in bipartite tournaments and Theorem 2.1 gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j \geq \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} q \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = pq,$$

which is the characterization of score lists due to Beineke and Moon [2].

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let [c$_1$, c$_2$,..., c$_m$] and [d$_1$, d$_2$,..., d$_n$] be score lists of an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament H(U, V), where U = {u$_1$, u$_2$,..., u$_m$} and V = {v$_1$, v$_2$,..., v$_n$}with $\mathrm{d}_H^+(u_i) = c_i$ for i = 1, 2,..., m, and $\mathrm{d}_H^+(v_j) = d_j$ for j = 1, 2,..., n. Clearly, $\mathrm{d}^+(u_i)+\mathrm{d}^-(u_i) = \begin{pmatrix} m-1 \\ h-1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathrm{d}^+(v_j)+\mathrm{d}^-(v_j) = \begin{pmatrix} m \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{pmatrix}$.

Let $\mathrm{a}_{m+1-i} = \mathrm{d}^-(u_i)$ and $\mathrm{b}_{n+1-j} = \mathrm{d}^-(v_j)$.

Then [a$_1$, a$_2$,..., a$_m$] and [b$_1$, b$_2$,..., b$_n$] are the losing score lists of H. Conversely, if [a$_1$, a$_2$,..., a$_m$] and [b$_1$, b$_2$,..., b$_n$] are the losing score lists of H, then [c$_1$, c$_2$,..., c$_m$] and [d$_1$, d$_2$,..., d$_n$] are the score lists of H. Hence it is sufficient to show that conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent provided

$$\mathrm{c}_i + \mathrm{a}_{m+1-i} = \begin{pmatrix} m-1 \\ h-1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathrm{d}_j + \mathrm{b}_{n+1-j} = \begin{pmatrix} m \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{pmatrix}.$$

First, assume (2) holds. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} m-1 \\ h-1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix} - c_{m+1-i} \right\} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} m \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{pmatrix} - d_{n+1-j} \right\}$$
$$= p\begin{pmatrix} m-1 \\ h-1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix} + q\begin{pmatrix} m \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{pmatrix} -$$
$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j - \sum_{i=1}^{m-p} c_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n-q} d_j \right]$$

$$\geq p\begin{pmatrix} m-1 \\ h-1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}+q\begin{pmatrix} m \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{pmatrix}-(h+k-1)\begin{pmatrix} m \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
$$+(m-p)\begin{pmatrix} m-1 \\ h-1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}+(n-q)\begin{pmatrix} m \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{pmatrix}+$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} m-(m-p) \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n-(n-q) \\ k \end{pmatrix}-\begin{pmatrix} m \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$
$$=\begin{pmatrix} p \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} q \\ k \end{pmatrix},$$

with equality when p = m and q = n. Thus, (1) holds.

Now, when (1) holds, using a similar argument as above, we can prove that (2) holds.

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

Corollary 2.1. Given non-negative integers m, n, h and k with $m \geq h > 1$ and $n \geq k > 1$,the non-decreasing sequences A = $[a_i]_1^m$ and B = $[b_j]_1^n$ of non-negative integers are the losing score lists of an [h-k]-bipartite hypertournament if and only if for each p and q,

$$\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j \leq \begin{pmatrix} m \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}-\begin{pmatrix} m-p \\ h \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n-q \\ k \end{pmatrix},$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.1.

References

[1] C. M. Bang and H. Sharp Jr., Score vectors of tournaments, J. Combin.Theory Ser. B 26 (1) (1979) 81-84.

[2] L. W. Beineke and J. W. Moon, On bipartite tournaments and scores, Proc. Fourth International Graph Theory Conference, Kalamazoo (1980 55-71.

[3] C. Berge, Graphs and hypergraphs, translated from French by E. Minieka, North-Holland Mathematical Library 6, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, London, (1973).

[4] Y. Koh and S. Ree, Score sequences of hypertournament matrices, J.Korea Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure and Appl. Math. 8 (2) (2001) 185-191.

[5] Y. Koh and S. Ree, On k-hypertournament matrices, Linear Algebra and its Applications 373 (2003) 183-195.

[6] H. G. Landau, On dominance relations and the structure of animal societies. III. The condition for a score structure, Bull. Math. Biophys. 15 (1953) 143-148.

[7] C. Wang and G. Zhou, Note on the degree sequences of k-hypertournaments, Discrete Mathematics, Preprint.

[8] G. Zhou, T. Yao and K. Zhang, On score sequences of k-hypertournaments, European J. Combin. 21 (8) (2000) 993-1000.